UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,771	09/24/2008	Yasushi Kanno	403664/SKY	3996
	7590 06/24/201 <b>&amp; MAYER,</b> LTD	EXAMINER		
700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300			HICKS, VICTORIA J	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3772	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCpatent@leydig.com Chgpatent@leydig.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/573,771	KANNO, YASUSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	VICTORIA HICKS	3772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
· ·	VIO OET TO EVEIDE AMONTHY	0) OD TUUDTY (00) DAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 M</u>	arch 2006.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 March 2006</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	ວ by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	or the continue copies het receive	<b>u</b> .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/28/06.	5)	αιστι Αμμιταιιστί				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the application filed on March 28, 2006.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the tubular region of an expandable and shrinkable article" in lines 2 and 3 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
  - Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsugawa (US publication 2004/0089309) in view of Griffiths (US publication 2002/0139373).

In regards to claim 1, Tsugawa teaches the abstract, Figure 1, Figure 14, [0075], [0080] and [0086] a condom held and rolled on a ring (auxiliary device 82) while an

Art Unit: 3772

opening in a tubular region of the condom is expanded, the ring (auxiliary device 82) comprising a rigid ring and a rotor (the ring having a shape in the state as if a number of beads (rotors) are coupled), wherein the rigid ring (auxiliary device 82) is sheathed (by the condom) and the ring (auxiliary device 82) is removable after placement of the condom. Tsugawa does not teach that the ring comprises a lubricant layer. However, Griffiths teaches in [0027] an analogous device in which the ring (installation ring) comprises a lubricant layer. It would have been obvious to modify the ring taught by Tsugawa with the lubricant layer taught by Griffiths because this element is known to make the condom simpler to use, as Griffiths teaches in [0014]. The claim recitation "wherein the rigid ring is sheathed via the lubricant layer with the rotor" is being treated as a product-by-process recitation. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citations omitted).

In regards to claim 2, Tsugawa and Griffiths teach the apparatus of claim 1.

Tsugawa teaches in the abstract and Figure 1 that the ring (auxiliary device 82) is able to be used in rolling the tubular region of an expandable and shrinkable article (condom) thereon.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,771 Page 4

Art Unit: 3772

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTORIA HICKS whose telephone number is (571)270-7033. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/V. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3772 6/16/10

/Patricia Bianco/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772

Application/Control Number: 10/573,771

Page 5

Art Unit: 3772